

OPERATING UNIT 11

Integrated Disposal Facility

This document sets forth the operating conditions for the Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF).

II.11.A COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED PERMIT

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in the Integrated Disposal Facility (IDF) Permit conditions, the Appendices specified in condition III.11.A and the Amendments specified in Condition III.11.B through III.11.I. All subsections, figures, and tables included in these portions are enforceable unless stated otherwise:

OPERATING UNIT 11, PERMIT ATTACHMENT 52:

Part A, Dangerous Waste Permit, Revision 3, dated 3/2005

Chapter 2.0 Topographic Map Description

Chapter 3.0 Waste Analysis Plan

Chapter 4.0 Process Information

Chapter 5.0 Ground Water Monitoring

Chapter 6.0 Procedure to Prevent Hazards

Chapter 7.0 Contingency Plan

Chapter 8.0 Personnel Training

Chapter 11.0 Closure and Post Closure Requirements

Chapter 13.0 Other Federal and State Laws

Appendix 4A Design Report (as applicable to critical systems)

Appendix 4B Construction Quality Assurance Plan

Appendix 4C Response Action Plan

Appendix 4D Technical specifications document (RPP-18-489 Rev 0)

Appendix 7A Building Emergency Plan (As applicable in Chapter 7)

Appendix 8A Training Plan

General and Standard Hanford Facility RCRA Permit, WA7890008967 (Permit) conditions (Part I and Part II conditions) applicable to the IDF are identified in Permit Attachment 3 (Permit Applicability Matrix).

III.11.B. AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED PERMIT

III.11.B.1. Portions of Permit Attachment 4, *Hanford Emergency Management Plan* that are not made enforceable by inclusion in the applicability matrix for that document, are not made enforceable by reference in this document.

III.11.B.2 Permittees must comply with all applicable portions of the Permit. The facility and unit-specific recordkeeping requirements are distinguished in the General Information Portion of the Permit, and are tied to the Permit conditions.

III.11.B.2 Permittees must comply with all applicable portions of the Permit. The facility and unit-specific recordkeeping requirements are distinguished in the General Information Portion of the Permit, and are tied to the Permit conditions.

III.11.B.3 The scope of this Permit is restricted to the landfill construction and operation as necessary to dispose of: 1) immobilized low activity waste from the WTP, and 2) the Demonstration Bulk Vitrification System and IDF operational waste as identified in Chapter 4.0. Future expansion of the RCRA trench, or disposal of other wastes not specified in this Permit, is prohibited unless authorized via modification of this Permit.

III.11.B.4 In accordance with WAC 173-303-806(11)(d), this Permit shall be reviewed every five (5) years after the effective date and modified, as necessary, in accordance with WAC 173-303-830(3).

III.11.C DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

III.11.C.1 IDF is designed in accordance with WAC 173-303-665 and WAC 173-303-640 as described in Chapter 4.0. Design changes impacting IDF critical systems shall be performed in accordance with Conditions III.11.D.1.d.i and III.11.D.1.d.ii.

IDF Critical Systems¹ include the following: The leachate collection and removal system (LCRS), leachate collection tank (LCT), leak detection system (LDS), liner system (LS), and closure cap. H-2 Drawings for the LCRS, LCT, LDS, and LS are identified in Appendix 4A, Section 3 of this Permit. Drawings for the closure cap will be provided pursuant to Condition III.11.C.1.b.

III.11.C.1.a The Permittees shall construct and operate the IDF in accordance with all specifications contained in RPP-18489 Rev 0. Critical systems, as defined in the definitions section of the Site-Wide RCRA Permit, are identified in Appendix 4A, Section 1 of this Permit.

III.11.C.1.b Landfill Cap

At final closure of the landfill, the Permittees shall cover the landfill with a final cover (closure cap) designed and constructed [WAC 173-303-665(6), WAC 173-303-806(4)(h)] to: Provide long-term minimization of migration of liquids through the closed landfill; Function with minimum maintenance; Promote drainage and minimize erosion or abrasion of the cover; Accommodate settling and subsidence so that the cover's integrity is maintained; and have a permeability less than or equal to the permeability of any bottom liner system or natural sub soils present.

III.11.C.1.c Compliance Schedule

Proposed conceptualized final cover design is presented in Chapter 11 (Closure and Financial Assurance). Six months prior to start of construction of IDF landfill final cover (but no later than 6 months prior to acceptance of the last shipment of waste at the IDF), the Permittees shall submit IDF landfill final cover design, specifications and CQA plan to Ecology for review and approval. No construction of the final cover may proceed until Ecology approval of the final design is given, through a permit modification.

III.11.C.1.d The Permittees shall notify Ecology at least sixty (60) calendar days prior to the date it expects to begin closure of the IDF landfill in accordance with WAC 173-303-610(c).

III.11.C.2 Design Reports

III.11.C.2.a New Tank Design Assessment Report

Permittees shall generate a written report in accordance with WAC 173-303-640(3)(a), providing the results of the leachate collection tank system design assessment. The report shall be reviewed and certified by an Independent Qualified Registered Professional Engineer (IQRPE)² in accordance with WAC-173-303-810(13)(a).

[2] "Independent qualified registered professional engineer," as used here and elsewhere with respect to Operating Unit 11, means a person who is licensed by the state of Washington, or a state which has reciprocity with the state of Washington as defined in RCW 18.43.100, and who is not an employee of the owner or operator of the facility for which construction or modification certification is required. A qualified professional engineer is an engineer with expertise in the specific area for which a certification is given.

III.11.C.2.b Compliance Schedule

Permittees shall submit the leachate collection tank design assessment report to Ecology along with the IQRPE certification, prior to construction of any part of the tank system including ancillary equipment.

III.11.D CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS

III.11.D.1 Construction Quality Assurance

III.11.D.1.a Ecology shall provide field oversight during construction of critical systems. In cases where an Engineering Change Notices (ECN) and/or Non Conformance Report (NCR) is required, Ecology and the Permittees shall follow steps for processing changes to the approved design per Conditions III.11.D.1.d.i and III.11.D.1.d.ii.

III.11.D.1.b Permittees shall implement the Construction Quality Assurance Plan (CQA plan) (Appendix 4B of the permit) during construction of IDF.

III.11.D.1.b.i The Permittees will not receive waste in the IDF until the owner or operator has submitted to Ecology by certified mail or hand delivery a certification signed by the CQA officer that the approved CQA plan has been successfully carried out and that the unit meets the requirements of WAC173-303-665 (2)(h) or (j); and the procedure in WAC 173-303-810 (14)(a) has been completed. Documentation supporting the CQA officer's certification shall be furnished to Ecology upon request.

III.11.D.1.c Construction inspection reports

III.11.D.1.c.i Permittees shall submit a report documenting the results of the leachate tank installation inspection. This report must be prepared by an independent, qualified installation inspector or a professional independent, qualified, registered, professional engineer either of whom is trained and experienced in the proper installation of tank systems or components. The Permittees will remedy all discrepancies before the tank system is placed in use. This report shall be submitted to Ecology 90 days prior to IDF operation and be included in the IDF Operating Record. [WAC-173-303-640(3)(h)].

III.11.D.1.d ECN/NCR Process for Critical Systems

Portions of the following conditions for processing engineering change notices and non-conformance reporting were extracted from and supersede Site Wide General Permit Condition II.L.

III.11.D.1.d.i Engineering Change Notice for Critical Systems

During construction of the IDF, the Permittees shall formally document changes to the approved designs, plans, and specifications, identified in Appendices 4A, 4B, 4C, and 4D of this permit, with an Engineering Change Notice (ECN). The Permittees shall maintain all ECNs in the IDF unit-specific Operating Record and shall make them available to Ecology upon request or during the course of an inspection. The Permittees shall provide to Ecology copies of proposed ECNs affecting any critical system within five (5) working days of initiating the ECN. Identification of critical systems is included in Condition III.11.C.1 and Appendix 4A of this permit. Within five (5) working days, Ecology will review a proposed ECN modifying a critical system and inform the Permittees whether the proposed ECN, when issued, will require a Class 1, 2, or 3 Permit modification.

III.11.D.1.d.ii Non-conformance Reporting for Critical Systems

During construction of the IDF, the Permittees shall formally document with a Nonconformance Report (NCR), any work completed which does not meet or exceed the standards of the approved design, plans and specifications, identified in Appendices 4A, 4B, 4C and 4D of this permit,. The Permittees shall maintain all NCRs in the IDF unit-specific Operating Record and shall make them available to Ecology upon request, or during the course of an inspection

III.11.D.1.d.ii.b The Permittees shall provide copies of NCRs affecting any critical or regulated system to Ecology within five (5) working days after identification of the nonconformance. Identification of critical systems is included in Condition III.11.C.1 and Appendix 4A of this permit. Ecology will review a NCR affecting a critical system and notify the Permittees within five (5) working days, in writing, whether a Permit modification is required for any nonconformance, and whether prior approval is required from Ecology before work proceeds, which affects the nonconforming item. .

III.11.D.1.d.iii As-Built Drawings

Upon completing construction of IDF, the Permittees shall produce as-built drawings of the project, which incorporate the design and construction modifications resulting from all project ECNs and NCRs, as well as modifications made pursuant to WAC 173-303-830. The Permittees shall place the drawings into the Operating Record within twelve (12) months of completing construction.

III.11.D.2 The Permittees shall not reduce the minimum frequency of destructive testing less than one test per 500 feet of seam, without prior approval in writing from Ecology

III.11.E GROUND WATER AND GROUND WATER MONITORING

Ground water shall be monitored in accordance with WAC 173-303 and the provisions contained in the Ecology-approved facility ground water monitoring plan (Chapter 5.0). All wells used to monitor the ground water beneath the unit shall be constructed in accordance with the provisions of WAC-173-160.

III.11.E.1 Ground Water Monitoring Program

III.11.E.1.a Prior to initial waste placement in the IDF landfill, the Permittees shall sample all ground water monitoring wells in the IDF network twice quarterly for one first year to determine baseline conditions. For the first sampling event (and only the first), samples for each well will include all constituents in 40 CFR 264 Appendix IX. Thereafter, sampling will include only those constituents as specified in Chapter 5.0, Table 5-2: chromium (filtered and unfiltered the first year to compare results), specific conductance, TOC, TOX, and pH. Other constituents to be monitored but not statistically compared include alkalinity, anions, ICP metals, and turbidity. These will provide important information on hydrogeologic characteristics of the aquifer and may provide indications of encroaching contaminants from other facilities not associated with IDF.

III.11.E.1.b After the baseline monitoring is completed, and data is analyzed, the Permittees and Ecology shall assess revisions to Chapter 5.0, Table 5-2. Subsequent samples will be collected semi-annually and will include constituents listed in Table 5-2 as approved by Ecology. All data analysis will employ Ecology approved statistical methods pursuant to WAC 173-303-645. Changes to chapter 5.0 will be subject to the permit modifications procedures under WAC 173-303-830.

III.11.E.1.c All constituents used as tracers to assess performance of the facility through computer modeling should be sampled at least annually to validate modeling results. Groundwater monitoring data and analytes to be monitored will be reviewed periodically as defined in Chapter 5.0 of this permit.

III.11.E.1.d Upon Ecology approval of the leachate monitoring plan, leachate monitoring and groundwater monitoring activities should be coordinated as approved by Ecology to form an effective and efficient means of monitoring the performance of the IDF facility.

III.11.E.1.e Ground water monitoring data shall be reported to Ecology on an annual basis beginning on March 1 after the issue date of this permit and annually on March 1 after that.

III.11.F LEACHATE COLLECTION COMPONENT MANAGEMENT

Permittees shall design, construct, and operate all leachate collection systems to minimize clogging during the active life and post closure period

III.11.F.1 Leachate Collection and Removal System (LCRS)

III.11.F.1.a At least 120 days prior to initial waste placement in the IDF, the Permittees shall submit a Leachate monitoring plan to Ecology for review, approval, and incorporation into the

1
2 permit. Upon approval by Ecology, this plan will be incorporated into the Permit as a class 1'
3 modification. The Permittees shall not accept waste into the IDF until the requirements
4 of the leachate monitoring plan have been incorporated into this permit.

5 III.11.F.1.b Leachate in the LCRS (primary sump) shall be sampled and analyzed monthly for the
6 first year of operation of the facility and quarterly thereafter (pursuant to WAC 173-303-
7 200). Additionally, leachate shall be sampled and analyzed to meet waste acceptance
8 criteria at the receiving treatment storage and disposal facility.

9 III.11.F.1.c Permittees shall manage the leachate in the LCRS system in a manner that does not allow
10 the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the flat 50-foot by 50-foot LCRS sump HDPE
11 bottom liner except for rare storm events as discussed in Chapter 4.0, Section 4.3.6.1 and
12 the LCRS sump trough [(WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(ii)(B). Liquid with a depth greater
13 than 30.5 cm above the SLDS liner will be removed at the earliest practicable time after
14 detection (not to exceed 5 working days).

15 III.11.F.1.d After initial waste placement, Permittees shall manage all leachate from the permitted
16 cell as dangerous waste (designated with Dangerous Waste Number F039) in accordance
17 with WAC 173- 303.

18 III.11.F.2 Monitoring and Management of Leak Detection System (LDS/ secondary sump)

19 III.11.F.2.a Permittees shall manage the leachate in the LDS system in a manner that does not allow
20 the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the LDS liner (WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(ii)(B).

21 III.11.F.2.b Permittees shall monitor and record leachate removal for comparison to the Action
22 Leakage Rate (ALR) as described in Appendix 4C, Response Action Plan. If the
23 leachate flow rate in the LDS exceeds the ALR, the Permittees shall implement the
24 Ecology approved response action plan (Appendix 4C).

25 III.11.F.2.c Leachate from the LDS (secondary sump) shall be sampled semi-annually if a pumpable
26 quantity of leachate is available for sampling.

27 III.11.F.2.d Accumulated liquid of pumpable quantities in the LDS will be managed in a manner that
28 does not allow the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the LDS liner
29 [WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(i)(C)(iii)]. Liquid with a depth greater than 30.5 cm above the
30 LDS liner will be removed at the earliest practicable time after detection (not to exceed
31 5 working days).

32 III.11.F.2.e Permittees shall manage all leachate from the permitted cell as F039 dangerous waste in
33 accordance with WAC 173- 303.

34 III.11.F.3 Monitoring and Management of the Secondary Leak Detection System (SLDS)

35 III.11.F.3.a The Permittees shall submit to Ecology for approval a sub-surface liquids monitoring and
36 operations plan (SLMOP) for the SLDS to include the following: monitoring frequency,
37 pressure transducer configuration, liquid collection and storage processes, sampling and
38 analysis and response actions. The SLMOP shall be approved by Ecology prior to
39 placement of waste in the IDF, and incorporated into the Permit as a Class 1'
40 modification.

III.11.F.3.b Permittees shall monitor and manage the SLDS (tertiary sump) pursuant to the approved sub-surface liquids monitoring and operations plan.

III.11.F.3.c Accumulated liquid of pumpable quantities in the SLDS will be managed in a manner that does not allow the fluid head to exceed 30.5 cm above the SLDS liner [WAC 173-303-665(2)(h)(i)(C)(iii)]. Liquid with a depth greater than 30.5 cm above the SLDS liner will be removed at the earliest practicable time after detection (not to exceed 5 working days).

III.11.F.3.d Permittees shall manage all leachate from the permitted cell as dangerous waste in accordance with WAC 173- 303.

III.11.G CONSTRUCTION WATER MANAGEMENT

III.11.G.1 During construction, it is anticipated that liquids will accumulate on top of all liners and sumps. Permittees shall manage the construction wastewater in accordance with State Waste Discharge Permit ST 4511.

III.11.G.2 Liquid accumulation within the LCRS, LDS, and SLDS prior to initial waste placement will be considered construction wastewater (i.e., not leachate).

III.11.H LANDFILL LINER INTEGRITY MANAGEMENT AND LANDFILL OPERATIONS

III.11.H.1 Permittees shall design, construct, and operate the landfill in a manner to protect the liners from becoming damaged. Temperature: Waste packages with elevated temperatures shall be evaluated and managed in a manner to maintain the primary (upper) liner below the design basis temperature for the liner (e.g., 160F). Weight: Waste, fill material and closure cover shall be placed in a manner that does not exceed the allowable load bearing capacity of the liner (weight per area 13,000 lb/ft²). Puncture: At least 3 feet of clean backfill material shall be placed as an operations layer over the leachate collection and removal system to protect the system from puncture damage.

III.11.H.1.a All equipment used for construction and operations inside of the IDF shall meet the weight limitation as specified in condition III.H.1. Only equipment that can be adequately supported by the operations layer as specified in condition III.H.1 (e.g., will not have the potential to puncture the liner) shall be used inside of the IDF. All equipment used for construction and operations outside of the IDF shall not damage the berms. Changes to any equipment will follow the process established by condition II.R of the site wide permit. Within 120 days from the effective date of the permit a process for demonstrating compliance with this condition shall be submitted for review by Ecology. This process will be incorporated into appropriate IDF operating procedures prior to IDF operations.

III.11.H.2 The Permittees shall construct berms and ditches to prevent run-on and run-off in accordance with the requirements of Section 4.3.8 of this permit. Before the first placement of waste in the IDF, the Permittees shall submit to Ecology a final grading and topographical map on a scale sufficient to identify berms and ditches used to control run-on and run-off. Upon approval, Ecology will incorporate these maps into the permit as a class 1' modification.

III.11.H.3 The Permittees shall operate the RCRA IDF Cell (Cell1) in accordance with WAC 173-303-665(2) and the operating practices described in Chapters 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and Appendix 4A, Section 1, subsection 7, except as otherwise specified in this Permit.

III.11.H.4 The Permittees shall maintain a permanent and accurate record of the three-dimensional location of each waste type, based on grid coordinates, within the RCRA IDF Cell (Cell1) in accordance with WAC 173-303-665(5).

III.11.H.5 The Permittees shall inspect the landfill in accordance with WAC 173-303-665(4)(b) and Chapter 6 of this permit, except as otherwise specified in this Permit.

III.11.I WASTE ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

The only acceptable waste form approved for disposal at the RCRA cell of IDF are IDF operational waste, Immobilized Low Activity Waste (ILAW) in glass form from the Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) Low Activity Waste (LAW) Vitrification facility and ILAW from the Bulk Vitrification Research Demonstration and Development facility (up to 50 boxes). Specifics about waste acceptance criteria for each of these wastes are detailed below.

No other waste forms may be disposed at the RCRA cell of IDF unless authorized via a Permit modification request. Requests for Permit modifications must be accompanied by an analysis adequate for Ecology to comply with SEPA, as well as by a risk assessment and groundwater modeling to show the environmental impact. Permit Condition III.11.I.6 outlines the process by which waste sources in the IDF are modeled in an ongoing risk budget and a ground water impact analysis.

III.11.I.1 Six months prior to IDF operations Permittees shall submit to Ecology for review, approval, and incorporation into the permit, all waste acceptance criteria (WAC) to address, at a minimum, the following: physical/chemical criteria, liquids and liquid containing waste, land disposal restriction treatment standards and prohibitions, compatibility of waste with liner, gas generation, packaging, handling of packages, minimization of subsidence.

III.11.I.1.a All containers/packages shall meet void space requirements pursuant to WAC 173-303-665(12).

III.11.I.1.b Compliance Schedule

III.11.I.1.b.i Six months prior to IDF operations, the Permittees shall submit to Ecology for review, approval, and incorporation into the permit any necessary modifications to the IDF WAP (Appendix 3A of the permit application, DOE/RL-2003-12, Rev 1).

III.11.I.2 ILAW Waste Acceptance Criteria

The only ILAW forms acceptable for disposal at IDF are: (1) approved glass canisters that are produced in accordance with the terms, conditions, and requirements of the WTP portion of the Permit, and (2) the 50 bulk vitrification test boxes as specified in the DBVS test plans.

To assure protection of human health and the environment, it is necessary that the appropriate quality of glass be disposed at IDF. The LDR Treatment Standard for eight metals (arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium and silver), when associated with High Level Waste is HLVIT (40 CFR 268). Because these metals are constituents in the Hanford Tanks Waste, the LDR standard for ILAW disposed to IDF is HLVIT.

For any ILAW glass form(s) that DOE intends to dispose of in IDF, DOE will provide to Ecology for review, an ILAW Waste Form Technical Requirements Document (IWTRD). The IWTRD will contain:

III.11.I.2.a WTP ILAW Waste Acceptance Criteria

III.11.I.2.a.i A description of each specific glass formulation that DOE intends to use including a basis for why each specific formulation is proposed for use, which specific tank wastes the glass formulation is proposed for use with, the characteristics of the glass that are key to satisfactory performance (e.g., VHT, PCT, and TCLP and/or other approved performance testing methodologies that the parties agree are appropriate and necessary), the range in key characteristics anticipated if the specific glass formulation is produced on a production basis with tank waste, and the factors that DOE must protect against in producing the glass to ensure the intended glass characteristics will exist in the actual ILAW.

III.11.I.2.a.ii A performance assessment that provides a reasonable basis for assurance that each glass formulation will, once disposed of in IDF in combination with the other waste volumes and waste forms planned for disposal at the entire Integrated Disposal Facility, be adequately protective of human health and the environment; and will not violate or be projected to violate all applicable state and federal laws, regulations and environmental standards.

Within 30 days of a request by Ecology, the Permittees shall provide a separate model run using Ecology's assumptions and model input.

III.11.I.2.a.iii A description of production processes including management controls and quality assurance/quality control requirements that assure that glass produced for each formulation will perform in a reasonably similar manner to the waste form assumed in the performance assessment for that formulation.

III.11.I.2.a.iv The Permittees shall update the IWTRD consistent with the above requirements for review by Ecology consistent with their respective roles and authority as provided under the TPA. Ecology comments shall be dispositioned through the Review Comment Record (RCR) process and will be reflected in further modeling to modify the IDF ILAW waste acceptance as appropriate. The initial IWTRD shall be submitted no later than January 2007, or if later than this date, as agreed to by Ecology. At a minimum, the Permittees shall submit updates to the IWTRD to Ecology every five years or more frequently if either of the following conditions exist:

- The Permittees submits a permit modification request allowing additional waste forms to be disposed of at IDF,

- The WTP of other vitrification facility change their glass formulations from those previously included in the ITRWD.

III.11.I.2.a.v The Permittees shall not dispose of any WTP ILAW not described and evaluated in the IWTRD.

III.11.I.3 ILAW Waste Acceptance Criteria Verification

III.11.I.3.a Six months prior to disposing of ILAW in the IDF, the Permittees will submit an ILAW verification plan to Ecology for review and approval. This plan will be coordinated with WTP, Ecology, and the Permittees personnel. This plan will outline the specifics of verifying ILAW waste acceptance through WTP operating parameters, and/or glass sampling. The Plan will include physical sampling requirements for batches, glass formulations, and/or feed envelopes.

III.11.I.4 Demonstration Bulk Vitrification System (DBVS) Bulk Vitrification Waste Acceptance Criteria

III.11.I.4.a Bulk Vitrification waste forms that are acceptable to be disposed of at IDF are up to 50 boxes of vitrified glass produced pursuant to the DBVS RD&D Permit from processing Hanford Tank S-109 tank waste.

III.11.I.4.b If Bulk Vitrification is selected as a technology to supplement the Waste Treatment Plant, the IDF portion of the Permit will need to be modified to accept Bulk Vitrification Full Scale production waste forms. This modification will need to be accompanied by appropriate TPA changes (per M-062 requirements) and adequate risk assessment information sufficient for the Department of Ecology to meet its SEPA obligations.

III.11.I.4.c DBVS Waste Acceptance Verification will occur on 100% of the waste packages. Pursuant to the DBVS RD&D Permit, a detailed campaign test report will be produced and submitted to Ecology detailing results of all testing performed on each waste package that is produced. IDF personnel shall review these reports to verify that the waste packages meet IDF Waste Acceptance Criteria.

III.11.I.4.d The Permittees shall not dispose of any waste forms that do not comply with all appropriate and applicable treatment standards, including all applicable Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR).

III.11.I.5 Modeling – Risk Budget Tool

III.11.I.5.a The Permittees must create and maintain a modeling - risk budget tool, which models the future impacts of the planned IDF waste forms (including input from analysis performed as specified in conditions III.11.I.2.a through III.11.I.2.a.ii above) and their impact to underlying vadose and ground water. This model will be updated at least every 5 years beginning no more than one year after the issuance date of this permit and provided to Ecology for review. The model will be updated more frequently if needed, to support permit modifications or SEPA Threshold Determinations whenever a new waste stream or significant expansion is being proposed for the IDF. This modeling-risk budget tool shall be conducted in manner that is consistent with state and federal requirements, and represents a cumulative risk analysis of all waste previously disposed of in the entire IDF (both cell 1 and cell 2) and those wastes expected to be disposed of in the future for the

entire IDF. The groundwater impact should be modeled in a concentration basis and should be compared against various performance standards including but not limited to drinking water standards (40 CFR 141 and 40 CFR 143). Ecology will review modeling assumptions, input parameters, and results and will provide comments to the Permittees. Ecology comments shall be dispositioned through the Review Comment Record (RCR) process and will be reflected in further modeling to modify the IDF ILAW waste acceptance as appropriate.

III.11.I.5.a.i The modeling-risk budget tool will include a sensitivity analysis reflecting parameters and changes to parameters as requested by Ecology.

III.11.I.5.a.ii If these modeling efforts indicate results within 75% of a performance standard [including but not limited to federal drinking water standards (40 CFR 141 and 40 CFR 143)], Ecology and the Permittees will meet to discuss mitigation measures or modified waste acceptance criteria for specific waste forms.

III.11.I.5.a.iii When considering all the waste forms to be disposed of in IDF, the Permittees shall not dispose of any waste that will result (through forward looking modeling or in real groundwater concentrations data) in an violation of any state or federal regulatory limit, specifically including but not limited to drinking water standards for any constituent as defined in 40 CFR 141 and 40 CFR 143.

III.11.I.6 The Permittees shall not dispose of any waste that is not in compliance with state and federal requirements as identified in Chapter 13.0.

III.11.I.6.a In accordance with DOE's authority under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended and other applicable law, prior to disposing of any mixed immobilized low-activity waste (ILAW) in the IDF, DOE will certify to the State of Washington that it has determined that such ILAW is not high-level waste and meets the criteria and requirements outlined in DOE's consultation with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission beginning in 1993 (Letter from R.M Bernero, USNRC to J. Lytle, USDOE, dated March 2, 1993; Letter from J Kinzer, USDOE, to C. J. Paperiello, USNRC, Classification of Hanford Low-Activity Tank Waste Fraction, dated March 7, 1996; and Letter from C.J. Paperiello, USNRC, to J. Kinzer, USDOE, Classification of Hanford Low-Activity Tank Waste Fraction, dated June 9, 1997). While the requirement to provide such certification is an enforceable obligation of this permit, the provision of such certification does not convey, or purport to convey, authority to Ecology to regulate the radioactive hazards of the waste under this permit.

III.11.I.7 IDF Operational Waste Acceptance Criteria

IDF operational activities (including decontamination, cleanup, and maintenance) will generate a small amount of waste. Waste that can meet IDF waste acceptance without treatment will be disposed of at the IDF. All other IDF operational waste will be managed pursuant to WAC 173-303-200.

OPERATING UNIT 15 UNIT-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS

331-C Storage Unit

The 331-C Storage Unit is a dangerous waste storage unit located in the 300 Area. This document sets forth the operating conditions for the 331-C Storage Unit.

III.15.A COMPLIANCE WITH PERMIT CONDITIONS

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in the Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit including all approved modifications. All chapters, subsections, figures, tables, and appendices included in the following unit-specific Permit Conditions are enforceable in their entirety.

In the event that the Part III-Unit-Specific Conditions for Operating Unit 15, 331-C Storage Unit conflict with the Part I-Standard Conditions and/or Part II-General Facility Conditions of the Permit, the unit-specific conditions for Operating Unit 15, 331-C Storage Unit prevail.

OPERATING UNIT 15:

Chapter 1.0 Part A, Dangerous Waste Permit, Revision, dated December 15, 2006.

Chapter 2.0 Unit Description, dated July 2006

Chapter 3.0 Waste Analysis Plan, dated July 2006

Chapter 4.0 Process Information, dated July 2006

Chapter 5.0 Groundwater Monitoring (not applicable)

Chapter 6.0 Procedures to Prevent Hazards (also refer to Permit Attachment 3, §6.1)

Chapter 7.0 Contingency Plan, dated July 2006.

Chapter 11.0 Closure and Postclosure Requirements, dated July 2006.

III.15.B AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED PERMIT

Portions of Permit Attachment 4 (DOE/RL-94-02) that are not made enforceable by inclusion in the applicability matrix for that document are not made enforceable by reference in this document.

PART I - UNIT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR CORRECTIVE ACTION**CHAPTER 1****100-NR-1 Operable Unit**

The 100-NR-1 Operable Unit (OU) includes solid waste management units and one-time spill sites which are undergoing corrective action. As prescribed by Permit Conditions II.Y of this Permit, this Chapter sets forth the corrective action requirements for the 100-NR-1 OU.

IV.1.A COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED CORRECTIVE MEASURES STUDY

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Permit Attachment 47. Enforceable portions are listed below; all subsections, figures, and tables included in these portions are also enforceable, unless stated otherwise.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 47:

Chapter 7.0	Comparative Analysis of Remedial Alternatives
Chapter 9.0, §9.0	Recommended Corrective Measures
Chapter 9.0, §9.1	RCRA Corrective Action Performance Standards
Chapter 9.0, §9.2	Corrective Measures for the 100-NR-1 Operable Unit Source Sites
Chapter 9.0, §9.2.1	Recommended Actions and Justifications
Chapter 9.0, §9.2.2	Cleanup Standards for the 100-NR-1 Operable Unit
Chapter 9.0, §9.2.3	Cost
Chapter 9.0, §9.2.4	Schedule
Chapter 9.0, §9.2.5	Training
Appendix A	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
Appendix G	Cost Estimates

IV.1.B. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED ENGINEERING EVALUATION/COST ANALYSIS

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Permit Attachment 48. Enforceable portions are listed below; all subsections, figures, and tables included in these portions are also enforceable, unless stated otherwise:

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 48:

Chapter 2.0, §2.2.1.5	Remedial Unit Five – Description of the SWMU's
Chapter 2.0, Table 2.1	Suspected Contaminants in 100-N Area Ancillary Facilities
Chapter 5.0, §5.2	Compliance with ARARS
Chapter 5.0, §5.10	Other Considerations
Chapter 5.0, Table 5.1	Summary of Estimated Costs for Alternatives Two, Three, and Four
Chapter 6.0	Recommended Alternative
Appendix A	Integration Plan for Decontamination and Demolition and Remedial Action in the 100-N Area

CHAPTER 2

100-NR-2 Operable Unit

The 100-NR-2 Operable Unit (OU) is the ground water below 100-NR-1 OU, which has been contaminated as a result of past intentional disposal operations and unintentional spills of hazardous substances. As prescribed by Permit Conditions II.Y of this Permit, this Chapter sets forth the corrective action requirements for the 100-NR-2 OU.

IV.2.A COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED CORRECTIVE MEASURES STUDY

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Permit Attachment 47. Enforceable portions are listed below; all subsections, figures, and tables included in these portions are also enforceable, unless stated otherwise:

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 47:

Chapter 7.0	Comparative Analysis of Remedial Alternatives
Chapter 9.0, §9.0	Recommended Corrective Measures
Chapter 9.0, §9.1	RCRA Correction Action Performance Standards
Chapter 9.0, §9.3	Corrective Measure for the 100-NR-2 Operable Unit
Chapter 9.0, §9.3.1	Recommended Action and Justification
Chapter 9.0, §9.3.2	Cleanup Standards for the 100-NR-2 Operable Unit
Chapter 9.0, §9.3.3	Cost
Chapter 9.0, §9.3.4	Schedule
Chapter 9.0, §9.3.5	Training
Appendix A	Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements
Appendix G	Cost Estimates

IV.2.B. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED ENGINEERING EVALUATION/COST ANALYSIS

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Permit Attachment 48. Enforceable portions are listed below; all subsections, figures, and tables included in these portions are also enforceable, unless stated otherwise:

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 48:

Chapter 2.0, §2.2.1.5	Remedial Unit Five – Description of the SWMU's
Chapter 2.0, Table 2.1	Suspected Contaminants in 100-N Area Ancillary Facilities
Chapter 5.0, §5.2	Compliance with ARARS
Chapter 5.0, §5.10	Other Considerations
Chapter 5.0, Table 5.1	Summary of Estimated Costs for Alternatives Two, Three, and Four
Chapter 6.0	Recommended Alternative
Appendix A	Integration Plan for Decontamination and Demolition and Remedial Action in the 100-N Area

PART V - UNIT-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR UNITS UNDERGOING CLOSURE**CHAPTER 1****183-H Solar Evaporation Basins
(Superseded by Part VI, Chapter 2)**

The 183-H Solar Evaporation Basins (Basins) TSD unit was operated as an evaporation treatment unit for dangerous wastes. The 183-H Solar Evaporation Basins Closure Plan has been completed and clean closure could not be achieved. The Modified Closure Plan presented in Part VI, Chapter 2 supersedes this Chapter.

CHAPTER 2**300 Area Solvent Evaporator
(Clean Closed, July 31, 1995)**

The 300 Area Solvent Evaporator (300 ASE) unit was operated as an evaporation treatment unit for dangerous wastes. This Chapter sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit.

This unit was Clean Closed on July 31, 1995, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 16, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 3**2727-S Nonradioactive Dangerous Waste Storage Facility
(Clean Closed, July 31, 1995)**

The 2727-S NRDWSF unit was operated as a storage unit for dangerous wastes. This Chapter sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit.

This unit was Clean Closed on July 31, 1995, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 17, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 4**Simulated High Level Waste Slurry Treatment and Storage Unit
(Clean Closed, October 23, 1995)**

The Simulated High Level Waste Slurry (SHLWS) unit was operated as a TSD unit for simulated slurry as a test operation in connection with the grout project. This Chapter sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit.

This unit was Clean Closed on October 23, 1995, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 19, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 5

**218-E-8 Borrow Pit Demolition Site
(Clean Closed, November 28, 1995)**

The 218-E-8 Borrow Pit Demolition Site (218 BPDS) unit was operated as an open burning/open detonation unit for dangerous wastes. This Chapter sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit.

This unit was Clean Closed on November 28, 1995, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 20, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 6

**200 West Area Ash Pit Demolition Site
(Clean Closed, November 28, 1995)**

The 200 West Area Ash Pit Demolition Site (200 APDS) unit was operated as an open burning/open detonation unit for dangerous wastes. This Chapter sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit.

This unit was Clean Closed on November 28, 1995, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 21, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 7

**2101-M Pond
(Clean Closed, November 28, 1995)**

The 2101-M Pond unit was operated as a disposal unit for potentially dangerous waste. This chapter sets forth closure requirements for this TSD unit.

This unit was Clean Closed on November 28, 1995, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 22, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 8

**216-B-3 Expansion Ponds
(Clean Closed, July 31, 1995)**

The 216-B-3 Expansion Ponds unit was operated as a treatment and disposal unit for dangerous waste. This chapter sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit.

This unit was Clean Closed on July 31, 1995, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 23, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 9

**Hanford Patrol Academy Demolition Site
(Clean Closed, November 28, 1995)**

The Hanford Patrol Academy Demolition Site (HPADS) unit was operated as an open burning/open detonation unit for dangerous waste. This Chapter sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit.

This unit was Clean Closed on November 28, 1995, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 24, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 10

**105-DR Large Sodium Fire Facility
(Partial Closure Plan Completed, October 1, 1996)**

The Large Sodium Fire Facility (LSFF) was a research laboratory used to conduct experiments for studying the behavior of alkali metals. This facility was also used for the treatment of alkali metal dangerous wastes.

This unit completed the closure plan on October 1, 1996, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 25, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 11

**304 Concretion Facility
(Clean Closed, January 21, 1996)**

The 304 Concretion Facility (304 Facility) was used for the treatment of dangerous wastes produced during the fuel fabrication process. These wastes consist of beryllium/Zircalloy-2 chips and Zircalloy-2 chips and fines.

This Unit was Clean Closed on January 21, 1996, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 26, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 12

**4843 Alkali Metal Storage Facility Closure Plan
(Clean Closed, April 14, 1997)**

The 4843 Alkali Metal Storage Facility (4843 AMSF) is an inactive storage facility which is currently undergoing permanent closure activities. This TSD unit was operated as a storage unit for dangerous waste and alkali metals.

This unit was clean closed on April 14, 1997, in accordance with the approved closure plan contained in Permit Attachment 29, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 13

**3718-F Alkali Metal Treatment and Storage Facility Closure Plan
(Clean Closed, August 4, 1998)**

The 3718-F Alkali Metal Treatment and Storage Facility was operated to treat and store alkali metal waste from the Fast Flux Test Facility, and from various laboratories that used alkali metals for experiments. Contaminated equipment was treated using water, methanol, isopropyl alcohol, or 2-butoxy ethanol. Bulk waste was treated by burning to eliminate the ignitability and reactive characteristics. After the burn treatment, the waste was neutralized with acid to a pH between 2 and 12.5.

This unit was Clean Closed on August 4, 1998, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 30, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 14

303-K Storage Facility (Clean Closed July 22, 2002)

The 303-K Storage Facility (303-K) was used for storage of mixed waste produced during the fuel fabrication process. These wastes consisted of beryllium/zircalloy-2 chips which were concreted at the 304 Concretion Facility, and other process wastes.

This unit was Clean Closed on July 22, 2002, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 32, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 15

**100 D Ponds
(Clean Closed, August 9, 1999)**

The 100 D Ponds was operated as a liquid effluent disposal site for dangerous wastes. This unit was Clean Closed on August 9, 1999, in accordance with the approved Clean Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 40, which was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit.

CHAPTER 16

1325-N Liquid Waste Disposal Facility

The 1325-N Liquid Waste Disposal Facility (LWDF) is an inactive TSD unit that is currently undergoing modified closure activities. This TSD unit was operated as a liquid waste disposal facility for dangerous wastes. This Chapter sets forth the modified closure requirements for the 1325-N LWDF.

V.16.A COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED MODIFIED CLOSURE PLAN

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in the Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit, as specified in Permit Attachment 3, Permit Applicability Matrix and the unit-specific conditions identified below for the 1325-N LWDF, including all modifications.

In the event that the Part V – Unit-Specific Conditions for 1325-N LWDF conflict with the Part I - Standard Conditions and/or Part II – General Facility Conditions of the Permit the unit-specific conditions for 1325-N LWDF prevail.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 41:

- | | |
|-------------|---|
| Chapter 1.0 | Part A Dangerous Waste Permit, from Class 1 modification dated September 30, 2005 |
| | 1325-N Liquid Waste Disposal Facility Revision 8 |
| Chapter 2.0 | Unit Description, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 3.0 | Groundwater Monitoring, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 4.0 | Closure Activities, from Class 1 modification dated March 31, 2005 |
| Chapter 5.0 | Postclosure Plan, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |

CHAPTER 17

1301-N Liquid Waste Disposal Facility

The 1301-N Liquid Waste Disposal Facility is an inactive TSD unit that is currently undergoing modified closure activities. This TSD unit was operated as a liquid waste disposal facility for dangerous waste. This Chapter sets forth the modified closure requirements for this TSD unit.

V.17.A COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED MODIFIED CLOSURE PLAN

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in the Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit, as specified in Permit Attachment 3, Permit Applicability Matrix and the unit-specific conditions identified below for the 1301-N LWDF,, including all modifications.

In the event that the Part V – Unit-Specific Conditions for 1301-N LWDF conflict with the Part I - Standard Conditions and/or Part II – General Facility Conditions of the Permit the unit-specific conditions for 1301-N LWDF prevail.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 41:

- | | |
|-------------|---|
| Chapter 1.0 | Part A Dangerous Waste Permit, from Class 1 modification dated September 30, 2005 |
| | 1301-N Liquid Waste Disposal Facility, Revision 8 |
| Chapter 2.0 | Unit Description, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 3.0 | Groundwater Monitoring, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 4.0 | Closure Activities, from Class 1 modification dated March 31, 2005 |
| Chapter 5.0 | Postclosure Plan, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |

CHAPTER 18

1324-N Surface Impoundment

The 1324-N Surface Impoundment was a TSD unit that operated as a percolation unit for dangerous wastes. This unit completed their Closure Plan.

V.18.A. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED MODIFIED CLOSURE PLAN

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit, as specified in Permit Attachment 3, Permit Applicability Matrix and the unit-specific conditions identified below for the 1324-N Surface Impoundment , including all modifications.

In the event that the Part V – Unit-Specific Conditions for 1324-N Surface Impoundment conflict with the Part I – Standard Conditions and/or Part II – General Facility Conditions of the Permit the unit-specific conditions for 1324-N Surface Impoundment prevail.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 42:

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| Chapter 1.0 | Part A, Dangerous Waste Permit, from Class 1 modification dated September 30, 2005 |
| | 1324-N Surface Impoundment, Revision 4 |
| Chapter 2.0 | Unit Description, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 3.0 | Ground Water Monitoring, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 4.0 | Closure, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 5.0 | Post-Closure Plan, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |

CHAPTER 19

1324-NA Percolation Pond

The 1324-NA Percolation Pond is an inactive TSD unit that is currently undergoing modified closure activities. This TSD unit was operated as a surface impoundment unit for dangerous wastes. This Chapter sets forth the modified closure requirements for this TSD unit.

V.19.A. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED MODIFIED CLOSURE PLAN

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit, as specified in Permit Attachment 3, Permit Applicability Matrix and the unit-specific conditions identified below for the 1324-NA Percolation Pond, including all modifications.

In the event that the Part V – Unit-Specific Conditions for 1324-N Surface Impoundment conflict with the Part I – Standard Conditions and/or Part II – General Facility Conditions of the Permit the unit-specific conditions for 1324-NA Percolation Pond prevail.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 42:

- | | |
|-------------|--|
| Chapter 1.0 | Part A, Dangerous Waste Permit, from Class 1 modification dated September 30, 2005 |
| | 1324-NA Percolation Pond, Revision 4 |
| Chapter 2.0 | Unit Description, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 3.0 | Ground Water Monitoring, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 4.0 | Closure, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |
| Chapter 5.0 | Post-Closure Plan, from Class 1 modification dated August 2004 |

CHAPTER 20

300 Area Waste Acid Treatment System (Partial Closure Plan Completed, December 3, 2001)

The 300 Area Waste Acid Treatment System (300 WATS) was a tank system that was used to treat and store nonrecoverable uranium-bearing waste acid from reactor fuel fabrication operations. Waste acid neutralization occurred in portions of what now is the 300 Area WATS before operation of the system as a *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act* (RCRA) of 1976 unit. The Closure Plan detailed closure of 300 Area WATS components, areas, and contamination resulting from RCRA operations. This unit consisted of portions of four (4) buildings and two (2) tank farms: 334-A Building, 313 Building, 303-F Building, 333 Building, 334 (tank 4), and 311 Tank Farms (tanks 40 and 50).

Closure activities were completed in September 1999, in accordance with the approved Closure Plan contained in Permit Attachment 46 that was retired during Revision 6 of this Permit. Clean closure was given for structures above the ground using the visually verifiable 'clean debris surface' rule and table in the *Ecology Guidance for Clean Closure of Dangerous Waste Facilities Publication #94-111* (August, 1994). The disposition of unclosed 300 Area WATS soils will be performed in conjunction with the 300-FF-2 CERCLA OU remedial action to complete WATS RCRA closure.

V.20.A COMPLIANCE

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in the Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit, as specified in Permit Attachment 3, Permit Applicability Matrix and the unit-specific conditions identified below for the 300 Area WATS, including all approved modifications.

In the event that these Part V – Unit-Specific Conditions conflict with the Part I – Standard Conditions and/or Part II – General Facility Conditions of the Permit the unit-specific conditions for 300 Area WATS prevail.

300 AREA WATS:

Chapter 1.0 Part A, Dangerous Waste Permit, Revision, 7, dated July 2005

V.20.B. UNIT-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR 300 AREA WATS:

V.20.B.1 Soil Contamination Areas 1 and 2, identified in the Part A, shall be inspected annually to ensure that the contamination at these locations remains immobilized until final disposition. Soil over the concrete block covers of 300 Area WATS and U-Bearing Piping Trench that covers Soil Contamination Area 1 will be inspected annually for disturbance indicating a potential for contamination at this area to become mobilized. The concrete slab surface over Soil contamination Area 2, located inside the 313 Building, will be inspected annually for cracks or major degradation and the presence of water that could mobilize soil contamination at this location. If unsatisfactory conditions are identified during annual inspections, Ecology will be notified for discussion of an appropriate response. This condition constitutes the TSD unit's inspection schedule.

V.20.B.2 A contingency plan, personnel training plan, or a waste analysis plan will not be required for the 300 Area WATS following partial closure, as this scope of work is included in the 300-FF-2 remedial action.

CLOSURE UNIT 6

Plutonium Finishing Plant Treatment Unit, Glovebox HA-20 MB
(Closed February 8, 2005)

The PFP Treatment Unit (HA-20MB) was a treatment unit. The HA-20MB glovebox operated as a sealed box with built-in gloves that allowed individuals to manipulate items inside and a window to allow viewing the interior. It was part of the PFP complex located within the 234-5Z Building in the 200 Area of the Hanford Site. This permit sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit. This unit has completed their Closure Plan.

V.21.A. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED CLOSURE PLAN

The Permittees complied with all requirements set forth in Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit, as specified in Permit Attachment 3, Permit Applicability Matrix and the unit-specific conditions identified below for the Plutonium Finishing Plant Treatment Unit, Glovebox HA-20 MB.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 54

Part A Form, Revision 1, dated April 10, 2000

Chapter 1.0 Introduction

Chapter 2.0 System and Process Description

Chapter 3.0 Closure Plan Closure

Chapter 4.0 Schedule for Closure

Chapter 5.0 References

CLOSURE UNIT 7

241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks

The 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks was a storage tank treatment system. This system stored and treated liquid mixed waste generated from PFP process activities prior to the waste being transferred to Double-Shell Tanks for storage until final disposition. This permit sets forth the closure requirements for this TSD unit.

V.22.A. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED CLOSURE PLAN

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Hanford Facility Dangerous Waste Permit, as specified in Permit Attachment 3, Permit Applicability Matrix and the unit-specific conditions identified below for the 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks.

In the event that the Part V – Unit-Specific Conditions for 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks conflict with the Part I – Standard Conditions and/or Part II – General Facility Conditions of the Permit the unit-specific conditions for 241-Z Treatment and Storage Tanks prevail.

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 55

Part A Form, Revision 6, dated June 5, 2000

Chapter 1.0 Introduction

Chapter 2.0 System Description

Chapter 3.0 Process Information

Chapter 4.0 Waste Characteristics

Chapter 5.0 Groundwater Monitoring

Chapter 6.0 Closure Strategy and Performance Standards

Chapter 7.0 General-Closure Activities

Chapter 8.0 Post-Closure

Chapter 9.0 References

PART VI - UNIT-SPECIFIC CONDITIONS FOR UNITS IN POST-CLOSURE

CHAPTER 1

300 Area Process Trenches

The 300 Area Process Trenches were operated to receive effluent discharges of dangerous mixed waste from fuel fabrication laboratories in the 300 Area. This chapter sets forth the modified closure requirements.

VI.1.A. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED MODIFIED CLOSURE PLAN

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Permit Attachment 31, including Permit Conditions specified in VI.1.B. The Permittees shall also comply with all the requirements in the 300-FF-1 and 300-FF-5 Record of Decision. All sections, figures, and tables included in these portions are enforceable:

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 31:

Chapter 1.0 Part A Dangerous Waste Permit, Revision 6, from Class 1 modification dated May 2005

Chapter 2.0 Introduction, from Class 1 modification dated June 30, 2002

Chapter 3.0 300 Area Process Trenches Groundwater Monitoring Plan, RCRA Final Status Compliance Monitoring Plan (i.e., WHC-SD-EN-AP-185), dated June 30, 2002

Chapter 4.0 Closure Contact, from Class 1 Modification dated February 2004

Chapter 5.0 Certification of Postclosure, from Class 1 Modification dated February 2004

Chapter 8.0 Postclosure, from Class 1 modification dated June 30, 2002

VI.1.B. AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED MODIFIED CLOSURE PLAN

VI.1.B.1. Pursuant to Permit Condition II.K.7, the 300 Area Process Trenches (APT) closure shall be a Modified Closure in coordination with the Record of Decision (ROD) for 300-FF-1 and 300-FF-5. Sections of CERCLA documents (examples may include, but are not limited to, Remedial Design/Remedial Action CERCLA work plan, the Operation and Monitoring Work Plan, etc.), which satisfy requirements and Conditions of this Modified Closure Plan, will be reviewed and approved by Ecology.

VI.1.B.2. As stipulated through Permit Attachment 31, Chapter 3.0 the RCRA Final Status Compliance Monitoring Plan (i.e., WHC-SD-EN-AP-185) Appendix IX, sampling shall not be required unless post-closure monitoring results indicate a need to do so.

CHAPTER 2

183-H Solar Evaporation Basins

The 183-H Solar Evaporation Basins comprise an inactive TSD unit that is undergoing post closure activities. This TSD unit was operated as an evaporation treatment unit for dangerous wastes.

VI.2.A. COMPLIANCE WITH APPROVED MODIFIED CLOSURE PLAN

The Permittees shall comply with all requirements set forth in Permit Attachment 37, including Permit Conditions specified in VI.2.B. All sections, figures, and tables included in these portions are enforceable:

PERMIT ATTACHMENT 37:

Chapter 1.0 Part A Dangerous Waste Permit, Revision 6, from Class 1 modification dated May 2005

Chapter 2.0 Modified Postclosure Institutional Controls and Periodic Assessments, from Class 1 modification dated June 30, 2002

Chapter 3.0 Ground Water Monitoring During Postclosure, from Class 1 modification dated June 30, 2002

Chapter 4.0 Corrective Action Plan, from Class 1 modification dated June 30, 2002

Chapter 5.0 Personnel Training During Postclosure, from Class 1 modification dated June 30, 2002

Chapter 6.0 Security, from Class 1 modification dated February 2004

Chapter 7.0 Closure Contact, from Class 1 modification dated February 2004

Chapter 8.0 Certification of Postclosure, from Class 1 modification dated June 30, 2002

VI.2.B. AMENDMENTS TO THE APPROVED POST-CLOSURE PLAN

VI.2.B.1. The Permittee will review the modified closure option in five (5) years (February 28, 2008). The purpose of the review will be to determine if this TSD unit can be clean closed.

VI.2.B.2. Well 199-H4-7, is removed from the ground water monitoring network identified in Chapter 3.0 and replaced with well 199-H4-8.